arXiv:1302.6681v2 [math.DG] 13 Mar 2013

YAU-TIAN-DONALDSON CORRESPONDENCE FOR K-SEMISTABLE FANO MANIFOLDS

CHI LI

Abstract. In this note, using the recent compactness results of Tian and Chen-Donaldson-Sun, we prove the K-semistable version of Yau-Tian-Donaldson correspondence for Fano manifolds.

1. Introduction

The recent development in Kähler geometry is the announcement of resolution of the Yau-Tian-Donaldson's conjecture for Fano manifolds, first by Tian and independently by Chen-Donaldson-Sun (See [32], [10]). To state this result, let X be a Fano manifold, which means $c_1(X) = c_1(-K_X) > 0$. We first define the special degeneration following Tian [29] (See also [20]). Let $D \in |-\lambda K_X|$ be a smooth pluri-anticanonical divisor.

Definition 1. *Let* $0 \le \alpha < 1$.

1. *A special degeneration of* $(X, \alpha D)$ *is a* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant map* $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that*
   - (a) *The general fibre* $(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{D}_t) \cong (X, D)$ *for* $t \neq 0$.
   - (b) *the central fibre* $\mathcal{X}_0 = \pi^{-1}\{0\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano variety and* $(\mathcal{X}_0, \alpha\mathcal{D}_0)$ *is a klt pair. (For the definition of* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano varieties and klt singularities, see the classical reference in birational geometry by Kollár-Mori* [15]*.)*
2. *Following Ding-Tian* [12]*, define the generalized log-Futaki invariant of the* $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha\mathcal{D}, -K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})$ *as the log-Futaki-invariant (*[14]*) on the central fibre as follows:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Fut(\mathcal{X}, \alpha\mathcal{D}, -K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}) = Fut(\mathcal{X}_0, \alpha\mathcal{D}_0, v) \\
= \; & -\int_X v(h_\omega)\omega^n - n\alpha\left(\int_{2\pi D}\theta_v\omega^{n-1} - \frac{\langle c_1(-K_X)^{n-1}, 2\pi D\rangle}{\langle c_1(-K_X)^n, [X]\rangle}\int_X \theta_v\omega^n\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

   where v *is the generating holomorphic vector of the* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action on the central fibre.* $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(-K_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ *is a Kähler metric.* h_ω *is the Ricci-potential of* ω *defined by* $Ric(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h_\omega$ *(See equation* (1)*).* θ_v *is the Hamiltonian function for* v *defined by* $\iota_v\omega = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\theta_v$.
3. $(X, \alpha D, -K_X)$ *is K-semistable (resp. K-polystable), if for any non-product special degeneration of* (X, D)*, the log-Futaki invariant* $Fut(\mathcal{X}, \alpha\mathcal{D}, -K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}) \ge 0$ *(resp.* > 0*).*

Then we have

Theorem 1 (Tian [32], Chen-Donaldson-Sun [10])**.** *X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if $(X, -K_X)$ is K-polystable.*

The program to prove such a theorem using Aubin's continuity method ([1]) was first proposed by Tian in the early 90's following his solution of Kähler-Einstein problem for del Pezzo surfaces [27]. The hard core of this program is Tian's conjecture of so called partial C^0-estimate (cf. [27], [28], [31]). The foundational work of Cheeger-Colding-Tian [8] is a major step towards this conjecture. Donaldson's great insight [14] which leads to the breakthrough is that the conical continuity method is more adapted to the problem. Several people in the field then extend much of the PDE theory in the old continuity method to the conical continuity method (See in particular, [14], [16]). However, to complete the program, one needs to resort to Tian's idea of proving partial C^0-estimates and extending Cheeger-Colding-Tian's theory to establish the following important compactness theorem.

Theorem 2 (Tian [32], Chen-Donaldson-Sun [10])**.** *Let $\gamma = R_c(X, D/\lambda)$. As $t \to \gamma$, the conical Kähler-Einstein metric $\hat{\omega}_t$ on $(X, (1-t)D/\lambda)$ Gromov-Hausdorff converges to a conical Kähler-Einstein metric $\hat{\omega}_\gamma$ on a klt pair $(\mathcal{X}_0, (1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda)$. Moreover, there is a special degeneration $(\mathcal{X}, (1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}/\lambda, -K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})$ of $(X, (1-\gamma)D/\lambda, -K_X)$ with $(\mathcal{X}_0, (1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda, -K_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ being the central fibre.*

The purpose of this note is to show the semistable version of Yau-Tian-Donaldson correspondence using the above compactness result as a tool of blackbox. For the notations used in the statements ($S(\omega_\phi)$, $R_s(X)$ and $R_c(X, D/\lambda)$), see the definitions in following section.

Theorem 3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$(X, -K_X)$ is K-semistable.*
2. *$R_s(X) = 1$.*
3. *$R_c(X, D/\lambda) = 1$.*
4. *The infimum of Calabi functional is zero, that is*
$$\inf_{\omega_\phi \in c_1(X)} \|S(\omega_\phi) - n\|_{L^2} = 0.$$
5. *The Mabuchi-energy is bounded from below, or equivalently, the Ding-energy is bounded from below.*

Remark 1. *Professor Robert Berman told me that, using Theorem 3 and result in his paper [7], the above conditions are also equivalent to the condition that the sup of Perelman's λ-functional is equal to $n \cdot Vol(X)$.*

Remark 2. *Some equivalences have been known for some time. See discussions in the next section. Most ingredients for proving the full statement are available after the works of Tian and Chen-Donaldson-Sun. For example, the works in [19], [23] are related to this result. However, some more arguments are needed and the author hopes that some clarifications could be helpful. In particular, in Lemma 1 we find explicit calculations to resolve a technical difficulty encountered in [19].*

2. Proof of Theorem 3

Let ω_0 be a smooth Kähler metric in $c_1(X)$. Define the space of smooth Kähler potentials

$$\mathcal{PSH}(\omega_0) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}); \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi > 0\}.$$

The Ricci curvature and scalar curvature are defined as

$$Ric(\omega_\phi) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\omega_\phi^n, \quad S(\omega_\phi) = g_\phi^{i\bar{j}} Ric(\omega_\phi)_{i\bar{j}}.$$

Note that the average of scalar curvature $\underline{S}$ of $\omega_\phi \in c_1(-K_X)$ is always equal to n.

The additive group $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathcal{PSH}(\omega_0)$ by addition. By the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-Lemma, the space of smooth Kähler metrics is the same as

$$\overline{\mathcal{PSH}}(\omega_0) = \mathcal{PSH}(\omega_0)/\mathbb{R}.$$

The Ricci potential h_{ω_0} of ω_0 measures the deviation of ω_0 from being Kähler-Einstein. It is defined by the formulas

$$Ric(\omega_0) - \omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h_{\omega_0}, \quad \int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}}\omega_0^n = \int_X \omega_0^n. \tag{1}$$

There are now two important continuity methods considered in the subject:

- (Aubin's continuity method)

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_t)^n = e^{h_{\omega_0} - t\phi}\omega_0^n \Longleftrightarrow Ric(\omega_{\phi_t}) = t\omega_\phi + (1-t)\omega_0. \tag{2}$$

  Define the maximal value of t for solvability of above equation as (cf. [24])

$$R_s(X) = \sup\{t;\ \exists\, \omega \in 2\pi c_1(X) \text{ such that } Ric(\omega) \geq t\omega\}. \tag{3}$$

- (Conical continuity method) For any $\lambda \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $D = \{s = 0\} \in |-\lambda K_X|$ be any smooth pluri-anticanonical divisor. Let $|\cdot|^2 = |\cdot|_{h_0}^2$ be the Hermitian metric on $-\lambda K_X$ whose Chern curvature is $\lambda\omega_0$.

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_t)^n = e^{h_{\omega_0} - t\psi}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|^{2(1-t)/\lambda}} \Longleftrightarrow Ric(\omega_{\psi_t}) = t\omega_{\psi_t} + 2\pi(1-t)\{D\}/\lambda. \tag{4}$$

  Note that the solution of this equation corresponds to a conical Kähler-Einstein metric on $(X, (1-t)D/\lambda)$ which means a Kähler-Einstein metric with cone singularities along the smooth divisor D of cone angle $2\pi\beta = 2\pi(1-(1-t)/\lambda)$. Similarly as above, we define

$$R_c(X, D/\lambda) = \sup\{t;\ \exists \text{ conical Kähler-Einstein metric on } (X, (1-t)D/\lambda)\}.$$

To study the relation between $R_s(X)$ and $R_c(X, D/\lambda)$, we consider the following functionals which are now well known. See for example [18] for general twisted functionals.

Definition 2. *Let $V = \int_X \omega^n$. We normalize $|\cdot|$ such that $\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}}\omega_0^n/|s|^{2(1-t)/\lambda} = \int_X \omega_0^n$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{PSH}(\omega_0)$, we define*

(1)

$$F_{\omega_0}^0(\omega_\phi) = -\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\int_X \phi\,\omega_0^i \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-i}.$$

(2)
$$I_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)=\int_X \phi(\omega^n-\omega_\phi^n),\quad J_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)=F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)+\int_X\phi\omega^n.$$

(3) *(Ding energy) ([11])*
$$F_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)=F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)-\frac{V}{t}\log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}-t\phi}\omega_0^n\right).$$

(4) *For $t\neq 0$,*
$$F_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}(\omega_\phi)=F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)-\frac{V}{t}\log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}-t\phi}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|^{2(1-t)/\lambda}}\right).$$

For $\lambda\geq 2$ and $t=0$,
$$F_{\omega_0,D/\lambda}(\omega_\phi)=F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)+\int_X\phi e^{h_{\omega_0}}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|^{2/\lambda}}.$$

(5) *(Mabuchi energy)*
$$\nu_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)=\int_X\log\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega_0^n}\omega_\phi^n+\left(\int_X\phi\omega_\phi^n+F^0_{\omega_0}(\phi)\right)+\int_X h_{\omega_0}(\omega_0^n-\omega_\phi^n).$$

(6)
$$\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)=\nu_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)+(1-t)(I_{\omega_0}-J_{\omega_0})(\omega_\phi).$$

(7)
$$\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}(\omega_\phi)=\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)+(1-t)\int_X\log|s|^{2/\lambda}_{h_0}(\omega_\phi^n-\omega_0^n)$$

Recall the following definition by Tian:

Definition 3 ([29]). *A functional F on $\overline{\mathcal{PSH}}(\omega_0)$ is called linearly proper if there exists constants $C_1>0$ and C_2, such that for any $\phi\in\overline{\mathcal{PSH}}(\omega_0)$, we have*
$$F(\omega_\phi)\geq C_1(I_{\omega_0}-J_{\omega_0})(\omega_\phi)-C_2.$$

The following proposition summarizes the relevant PDE theory for Aubin's continuity method and conical continuity method.

Proposition 1.
(1) *$R_s(X)\geq r_0$ if and only if $F_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}$ is linearly proper when $t<r_0$, equivalently, if and only if $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}$ is linearly proper when $t<r_0$.*
(2) *$R_c(X,D/\lambda)\geq b_0$ if and only if $F_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}$ is linearly proper when $t<b_0$, equivalently, if and only if $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}$ is linearly proper when $t<b_0$.*

Remark 3. *The proposition is now well known (cf. [19], [23], [24]), but for the reader's convenience, I will sketch the proof of this proposition. Also see [18, Chapter 3] for more discussions and references related to this proposition.*

Proof. We first assume the solvability of (2) (resp. (4)). Then the argument splits into the following steps.

(1) When $0 < t_1 \ll 1$, the functionals $F_{\omega_0,(1-t_1)\omega_0}$, $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t_1)\omega_0}$, (resp. $F_{\omega_0,(1-t_1)D/\lambda}$, $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t_1)D/\lambda}$) are proper. This can be proved using Tian's α-invariant [11], [30] (resp. log-α-invariant [6]).

(2) The solution of equation (2) (resp. (4)) for $t = t_2 < R_s(X)$ (resp. $t = t_2 < R_c(X, D/\lambda)$) obtains the minimum of both functionals $F_{\omega_0,(1-t_2)\omega_0}$ and $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t_2)\omega_0}$ (resp. $F_{\omega_0,(1-t_2)D/\lambda}$ and $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t_2)D/\lambda}$). (cf. [3], [5], [6])

(3) The functional $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}$ (resp. $\nu_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}$) is linear in the variable t. Also, by Hölder's inequality, the functional $F_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0}$ (resp. $F_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda}$) is concave downward in the variable t.(See [19]) So the properness of functionals for intermediate values $0 < t_1 < t < t_2 < R_s(X)$ (resp. $0 < t_1 < t < t_2 < R_c(X, D/\lambda)$) follows from interpolations.

To prove the other direction, we assume the properness of functionals.

(1) Start the continuity method. For Aubin's continuity method (2) , Tian's α-invariant [26] bounds $R_s(X)$ away from 0 by the inequality $R_s(X) \geq \frac{n+1}{n}\alpha(X) > 0$. For the conical continuity method (4), for simplicity, we assume $\lambda \geq 2$. One can choose t_0 such that $1-\lambda < t_0 < 1-\lambda+\epsilon < 0$ with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. The solvability of (4) when $t = t_0$ is proved in the same way as in the case of smooth Kähler-Einstein metric with negative Ricci curvature, because the cone angle $0 < 2\pi\beta = 2\pi(1-(1-t_0)/\lambda) \ll 1$ is very small and the cone singularities do not cause troubles in the estimates. In general, all cases (for all $\lambda \geq 1$) can be dealt with as in [16].

(2) The openness of solution set holds for (2) by [1] and for (4) by [14].

(3) The closedness of solution set follows from a priori estimates which are true by the assumption that the functionals are proper. This is proved as follows. Firstly, by the interpolation argument as above, the functionals are uniformly proper along continuity methods. So $(I-J)_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi)$ are uniformly bounded. Secondly, because the Sobolev constants are uniformly bounded along the continuity methods, so the C^0-estimates follow from Moser iterations. (cf. [26], [16]) Then by the theory of (singular) Monge-Ampère equations, C^0-estimates are sufficient for higher order estimates. For more details, see [1], [16].

□

Corollary 1. (1) *$R_c(X, D/\lambda) \leq R_s(X)$.*

(2) *([23]) $R_c(X, D/\lambda) \geq R_s(\lambda-1)/(\lambda-R_s)$.*

Proof. (1) Because $F_{\omega_0,(1-t)\omega_0} \geq F_{\omega_0,(1-t)D/\lambda} - C$ for constant $C = \frac{1-t}{\lambda}\log\max_X |s|^2$, this follows from above Proposition immediately.

(2) By the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}-t\psi}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|^{2(1-t)}} \leq e^{\|h_{\omega_0}\|_{L^\infty}/q}\left(\int_X e^{h_{\omega_0}-tp\psi}\omega_0^n\right)^{1/p}\left(\int_X \frac{1}{|s|^{2(1-t)q/\lambda}}\omega_0^n\right)^{1/q}.$$

Then the inequality follows by solving the following conditions:

$$t\cdot p < R_s(X), \quad p^{-1}+q^{-1} = 1, \quad (1-t)q/\lambda < 1.$$

□

Remark 4. *If we define the invariant*

$$R_c(X)=\sup\{R_c(X,D/\lambda);\ \textit{smooth } D\in|-\lambda K_X|,\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\},$$

then the above corollary immediately implies $R_c(X)=R_s(X)$*. However,* $R_c(X,D/\lambda)$ *may vary with the divisor* D *when* $R_s(X)<1$*. In* [19]*, on toric Fano manifold, we constructed some* D *and* λ *with* $R_c(X,D/\lambda)=R_s(X)$*. But, by Székelyhidi* [25]*, it's not true that a general smooth divisor could satisfy* $R_c(X,D/\lambda)=R_s(X)$*.*

Let's come back to the proof of Theorem 3. Many implications of different conditions above are already well known. We summarize them in the following

- (5) $\Rightarrow$ (1). This direction is well known. See Tian [29], Paul-Tian [21]. (See also discussions in [18])
- (5) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This was proved by Bando [2].
- (5) $\Rightarrow$ (3). This follows from the interpolation argument used in Li-Sun [19], Wang-Song [23].
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This follows because in general we have $R_c(X,D/\lambda)\leq R_s(X)$ by Corollary 1.(1).
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) when $\lambda\geq 2$. This follows from Song-Wang's estimate in Corollary 1.(2).
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4). This follows from the work by Bando [2].
- (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). This was proved by Donaldson [13].
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). This was proved by Székelyhidi [24].

Note that the above implications roughly say that condition of K-semistability (1) is the weakest, while the condition that Mabuchi-energy is bounded from below (5) is the strongest. In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3, we just need to show the following two propositions, which implies that (1) is equivalent to (5).

Proposition 2. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3)*.*

Proof. Prove by contradiction. Suppose $\gamma=R_c(X,D/\lambda)<1$. Then by Theorem 2, we get a special degeneration $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ of (X,D) such that $(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda)$ admits a conical Kähler-Einstein metric. Then by [14] or [17], we have $Fut(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda,v)=Fut(\mathcal{X},(1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}/\lambda,-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})=0$, where v is the holomorphic vector field on the central fibre $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)$ coming from the special degeneration. On the other hand, by [19], $Fut(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda,v)=Fut(\mathcal{X},(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{D}/\lambda,-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})>0$ when $0<\epsilon\ll 1$. By the linearity of log-Futaki invariant $Fut(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-t)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda,v)$ in the variable t, we get $Fut(\mathcal{X},(1-1)\mathcal{D}/\lambda,-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})=Fut(\mathcal{X},-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})<0$. This is in contradiction with $(X,-K_X)$ being K-semistable. □

Proposition 3. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (5)*.*

Proof. Given Theorem 2, we follow the line of arguments in [19] where a generalization of Chen's theorem [9] was proved in a simple case of isolated singularities. Here some more arguments are needed. See Lemma 1.

(1) We can first embed the special degeneration $\pi:\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{C}$ equivariantly into $\pi_2:\mathbb{P}^N\times\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ using the complete linear system $|-mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}|$ and then restrict $\frac{1}{m}\omega_{FS}^{\mathbb{P}^N}+$

$dw\wedge d\bar{w}$ onto $\mathcal{X}$ to get a reference metric Ω. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_1}=\Omega|_X=\omega_0$.

(2) Take a resolution of singularities $p:\tilde{X}\to X$. We solve the Dirichlet problem for homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation on $M=(p\circ\pi)^{-1}(B_1(0))$:

$$p^*\Omega+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi\ge 0,\ (p^*\Omega+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{n+1}=0 \text{ on M };\quad \Phi|_{\partial M=S^1\times X}=\phi.$$

We have a bounded solution $\Phi\in L^\infty(M)$. This is because we can construct a subsolution by using cut-off function to glue the metric $\omega_\phi+a\cdot dw\wedge d\bar{w}$ (for a sufficiently large) on $\pi^{-1}(B_1(0)\backslash\{0\})=\mathcal{X}^*\cong X\times B_1(0)^*$ with the reference metric $p^*\Omega$ on $(p\circ\pi)^{-1}(B_{1/2}(0))$. For details, see Proposition 4.10 in [19]. Since Φ is plurisubharmonic on the compact exceptional divisors of p, it restricts to constant function on exceptional divisors and descends to a bounded Ω-plurisubharmonic function on $M=\mathcal{X}|_{B_1(0)}$. By [22], we know furthermore that Φ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ away from $Sing(M)$.

(3) This part of argument depends on Berndtsson(-Paun)'s convexity result. We need a definition and some remarks before applying this result.

Definition 4. *Assume $(X,\alpha D)$ is a klt pair, where $D=\{s=0\}\in|-\lambda K_X|$. Assume mK_X is Cartier for $\mathbb{Z}\ni m\ge 1$. For any small open set $U\subset X$, let v be a local generator of $\mathcal{O}(mK_X)(U)$ and v^* be the dual generator of $\mathcal{O}(-mK_X)(U)$. For any Hermitian metric h on $-K_X$ with bounded potential, we define the **adapted volume form** by*

$$dV((X,\alpha D),h)=\frac{|v^*|_h^{2/m}(v\wedge\bar{v})^{1/m}}{|s|_h^{2\alpha}}=\frac{dV(h)}{|s|_h^{2\alpha}}.$$

Here and henceforth, we just write $dV(h)$ for $dV((X,0),h)$.

Remark 5. *Since the klt property is important for us in the following, we briefly explain why the klt property holds in Theorem 2. Some more detailed argument (probably implicit in the proof) can be found in [32] and [10].*

In general log-setting, $(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-t)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda)$ being Kawamata log terminal is equivalent to the integrality of $dV(h)/|s_0|_h^{2(1-\gamma)/\lambda}$ where $s_0\in|-\lambda K_{\mathcal{X}_0}|$ such that $\mathcal{D}_0=\{s_0=0\}$, and h is any Hermitian metric with bounded local potentials. If $\hat{\omega}_\gamma$ is a conical Kähler-Einstein metric on $(\mathcal{X}_0,(1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0/\lambda)$ and $h=\hat{h}_\gamma$ is the corresponding Hermitian metric on $-K_{\mathcal{X}_0}$, then it's easy to verify that, on any small open set $U_0\subset\mathcal{X}_0$,

$$dV(\hat{h}_\gamma)=|v_0^*|_{\hat{h}_\gamma}^{2/m}(v_0\wedge\bar{v}_0)^{1/m}=e^f|s_0|_{\hat{h}_\gamma}^{2(1-\gamma)/\lambda}\hat{\omega}_\gamma^n,$$

where f is a bounded pluriharmonic function on U_0. So we have

$$\frac{dV(\hat{h}_\gamma)}{|s_0|_{\hat{h}_\gamma}^{2(1-\gamma)/\lambda}}=\frac{|v_0^*|_{\hat{h}_\gamma}^{2/m}(v_0\wedge\bar{v}_0)^{1/m}}{|s_0|_{\hat{h}_\gamma}^{2(1-\gamma)/\lambda}\hat{\omega}_\gamma^n}\hat{\omega}_\gamma^n=e^f\hat{\omega}_\gamma^n.$$

So, in particular, the klt property of $(\mathcal{X}_0, (1-\gamma)\mathcal{D}_0)$ in Theorem 2 follows from the following facts.

- *As remarked before, proving Tian's partial C^0-estimates is an important step to prove Theorem 2. By its proof, we know that there exists a non-vanishing generator v_0^* of $\mathcal{O}(-mK_{\mathcal{X}_0})(U_0)$ for some positive integer m.*
- *The volume form $\int_{U_0} \hat{\omega}_\gamma^n = Vol_{\hat{\omega}_\gamma}(U_0)$ is finite, because $\hat{\omega}_\gamma$ is Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the conical Kähler-Einstein metrics $\hat{\omega}_t$ on $(X, (1-t)D/\lambda)$, as $t \to \gamma$.*

Remark 6. *On a smooth manifold X, assume $z = \{z_i\}$ is a local coordinate chart. For any smooth Hermitian metric h on $-K_X$ with Chern curvature equal to $\omega = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h$, the adapted volume form is given by*

$$dV(h) = |\partial_z|_h^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

and it satisfies the equation:

$$e^{h_\omega}\omega^n = \frac{dV(h)}{\int_X dV(h)},$$

and so $-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(e^{h_\omega}\omega^n) = \omega = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log dV(h)$. In the previous Monge-Ampère equations and definition of Ding energies, we could use either $e^{h_\omega}\omega^n$ or adapted volume form $dV(h)$. But here to apply Berndtsson's convexity result, we need to work with adapted volume forms.

Let's return to the proof of Proposition 3. By the above define and remarks, define

$$f(t) = F^0_{\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_t}}(\Phi|_{\mathcal{X}_t}) - \log\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi|_{\mathcal{X}_t}} dV(h_\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_t})\right) = \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}.$$

Here, on each fibre $\mathcal{X}_t$,

$$dV(h_\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_t}) = (\sqrt{-1})^n |v_t^*|_{h_\Omega}^{2/m} (v_t \wedge \bar{v}_t)^{1/m},$$

where m is a positive integer such that $mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}$ is Cartier, and $v = (v_t)$ is the generator of $\mathcal{O}(-mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})$.

Now since Φ satisfies the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation and $F^0_{\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_t}}$ is essentially the negative Bott-Chern integral for $c_1(L)^{n+1}$, we get

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\mathrm{I} = -\frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} (\Omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{n+1} - \Omega^{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \Omega^{n+1} \geq 0,$$

where $\int_{\mathcal{X}_t}$ denotes the integration along the fibre.

On the other hand, by writing $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ as $K_\mathcal{X} + (-K_\mathcal{X})$, it's easy to see that $\mathrm{II}(t)$ is the relative Bergman metric on $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C}$. By [4], $\mathrm{II}(t)$ is subharmonic on $B_1(0)^* = B_1(0)\backslash\{0\}$ and $\sqrt{-1}\Delta\mathrm{II}dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ extends to a positive current on $B_1(0)$.

Lemma 1. *The part $\mathrm{II}(t)$ is continuous as a function of t .*

Assuming the Lemma, it's easy to see that $\Delta \mathrm{II} \geq 0$ on the whole $B_1(0)$ as a distribution. So by maximal principle and S^1-symmetry, we have

$$\max_{t\in\partial B_1(0)} \mathrm{II}(t) = \mathrm{II}(1) \geq \mathrm{II}(0) = \mathrm{II}(0).$$

Combining the above discussion, we see that

$$F^X_{\omega_0}(\omega_\phi) \geq F^{\mathcal{X}_0}_{\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_0}}(\Omega_\Phi|_{\mathcal{X}_0}).$$

Proof of Lemma 1. We first note that the problem can be reduced to prove (local) volume convergence. More precisely, for any $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we choose a small neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_\delta$ of $Sing(\mathcal{X})$. The claim is that, we only need to show that for any $0 < \delta \ll 1$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{X}_t\cap\mathcal{W}_\delta} dV(h_\Omega) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0\cap\mathcal{W}_\delta} dV(h_\Omega).$$

to get the convergence

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi}dV(h_\Omega) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} e^{-\Phi}dV(h_\Omega).$$

Note again, the finiteness on the right hand side follows from $\mathcal{X}_0$ being klt. To verify the claim, we split the integral in part II as:

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_t} e^{-\Phi}dV(h_\Omega) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_t\setminus\mathcal{W}_\delta} e^{-\Phi}dV(h_\Omega) + \int_{\mathcal{X}_t\cap\mathcal{W}_\delta} e^{-\Phi}dV(h_\Omega).$$

Then the claim easily follows by choosing δ sufficiently small and using the property of Φ, i.e. it's bounded and $C^{1,\alpha}$, for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, alway from the singularities.

Now we prove the (local) volume convergence. For any $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$, choose a small neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of x. Choose a local generator $v = (v_t)$ of $\mathcal{O}(mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}})(\mathcal{U})$. Since the density function $|v_t^*|^2_{h_\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_t}}$ uniformly converges to $|v_0^*|^2_{h_\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_0}}$, we only need to prove the convergence:

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{U}_t} (v\wedge\bar{v})^{1/m} = \int_{\mathcal{U}_0} (v_0\wedge\bar{v}_0)^{1/m},$$

where $\mathcal{U}_t = \mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{X}_t$. We will prove this by calculating the pull-back of the integrals to a log resolution. For preparation, note that in the special degeneration $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{t = 0\}$ is a reduced fibre. This should follow directly from the construction of $\mathcal{X}$ in Theorem 2. Or we can use the fact that the generalized Futaki invariant of $\mathcal{X}$ is zero. If $\mathcal{X}_0$ was not reduced, we could do the base change and get a special degeneration with negative Futaki invariant, which is in contradiction with X being K-semistable. For details, see [20, Claim 1].

Now choose a log resolution of the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0)$: $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \to \mathcal{X}$. Let $\tilde{\pi} = \pi\circ\mu$. Then we have

$$\mu^*\mathcal{X}_0 = \mu^*\pi^*(\{t=0\}) = \tilde{\pi}^*(\{t=0\}) = \mathcal{X}_0' + \sum_{i=1}^{K} a_iE_i. \text{ with } \mathbb{Z}\ni a_i > 0, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_0'$ is the strict transform of $\mathcal{X}_0$ under μ^{-1}. The divisors $\mathcal{X}_0'$ and E_i have simple normal crossings. We also have the formula:

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \mathcal{X}_0' = \mu^*(K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{X}_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i E_i. \tag{6}$$

Since $\mathcal{X}_0$ is klt, by the inversion of adjunction [15, Theorem 5.50], $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0)$ is plt. So $b_i < 1$. Furthermore, by adjunction formula, we have

$$K_{\mathcal{X}_0'} = (\mu|_{\mathcal{X}_0'})^* K_{\mathcal{X}_0} - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i E_i|_{\mathcal{X}_0'}. \tag{7}$$

with $b_i < 1$. This is saying exactly that $\mathcal{X}_0$ is klt.

Using equation (5) and tensoring both sides of (6) with $\tilde{\mu}^* K_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, we get

$$\mu^* K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}} = K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{C}} - \sum_{i=1}^{K} (a_i - b_i) E_i. \tag{8}$$

Fix any point $\tilde{x} \in \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$. Choose a small neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of $\tilde{x}$ and write $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t = \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_t$. We estimate the pull-back integral on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$.

Case 1: $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0'$. Without loss of generality, we can assume

$$\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0' \cap \cap_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} E_i.$$

Choose a coordinate chart $w = \{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n\} = \{w_0, w'\}$ such that locally, $\mathcal{X}_0' = \{w_0 = 0\}$ and $E_i = \{w_i = 0\}$ and the map $\tilde{\mu}$ is given by

$$t = w_0 \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i}.$$

Then equation (8) means, analytically, we have

$$\mu^*(v^{1/m}) = g(w) \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i - b_i} \, (dw_0 \wedge dw' \otimes \partial_t), \tag{9}$$

where $g(w)$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic function of w, and $dw' = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n$. To calculate the integral on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_t$, note that on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ we have

$$w_0 = w_0(t, w_1, \ldots, w_n) = w_0(t, w') = \frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i}}.$$

The local volume form in (9) restricted on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}_t}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i} dw \otimes \partial_t|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}_t}} &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i} dw_0 \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n \otimes \partial_t|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}_t}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i} \frac{dt}{\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i}} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n \otimes \partial_t|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}_t}} \\ &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{-b_i} dw_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n} dw_j.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v})^{1/m} = g(w_0(t,w'),w') \bigwedge_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} |w_i|^{-2b_i} dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n} dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j.$$

So we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to 0} \mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v})^{1/m} &= g(0,w') \bigwedge_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} |w_i|^{-2b_i} dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n} dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j \\ &= \mu|_{\mathcal{X}_0'}^*(v_0 \wedge \bar{v}_0)^{1/m}.\end{aligned}$$

The second equality is just the analytic form of formula (7). So we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}_t}} \mu^*(v \wedge \bar{v})^{1/m} = \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{X}_0'} \mu|_{\mathcal{X}_0'}^*(v_0 \wedge \bar{v}_0)^{1/m}.$$

Case 2: $\tilde{x} \notin \mathcal{X}_0'$. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$\tilde{x} \in \cap_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} E_i.$$

We can choose the coordinate chart $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}\}$ such that $E_i = \{w_i = 0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N_{\tilde{x}}$ and the map $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined by the formula

$$t = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i,$$

where we introduce $y_i = w_i^{a_i}$. So on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_t$, we have

$$y_1 = w_1^{a_1} = \frac{t}{\prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i}} = \frac{t}{\prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i}. \tag{10}$$

Denote $dw' = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_{n+1}$. Then by (8),

$$\mu^*(v^{1/m}) = g(w) \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i} \ (dw' \otimes \partial_t), \tag{11}$$

One should compare this formula with (9) which has extra dw_0 factor. For $1 \le i \le N_{\tilde{x}}$, we rewrite the corresponding factors in (11) using the variable y_i:

$$w_i^{a_i-b_i}dw_i = \frac{1}{a_i}w_i^{1-b_i}d(w_i^{a_i}) = \frac{1}{a_i}y_i^{(1-b_i)/a_i}dy_i = \frac{1}{a_i}y_i^{\beta_i}dy_i.$$

Here we denote $\beta_i = (1-b_i)/a_i$. The important inequality for us is $\beta_i > 0$ because $b_i < 1$ and $\mathbb{Z} \ni a_i \ge 1$.

Using the relation (10), we can find the volume form on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t \subset \mathcal{X}_t$:

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i}\, dw' \otimes \partial_t\Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t} &= w_1^{a_1-b_1}dw_1 \wedge \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} w_i^{a_i-b_i}dw_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n+1} dw_j \otimes \partial_t\Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} a_i}\left(\frac{t}{\prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i}\right)^{\beta_1} \frac{dt}{\prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i^{\beta_i}dy_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n+1} dw_j \otimes \partial_t\Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t} \\ &= \frac{t^{\beta_1}}{A}\bigwedge_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} y_i^{\beta_i-\beta_1-1}dy_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n+1} dw_j.\end{aligned}$$

where $A = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} a_i$ is a positive integer. So

$$\mu^*(v\wedge\bar{v})^{1/m} = \frac{|g(w)|^2}{A^2}|t|^{2\beta_1}\bigwedge_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}}(|y_i|^{2(\beta_i-\beta_1)-2}dy_i\wedge d\bar{y}_i)\wedge \bigwedge_{j=N_{\tilde{x}}+1}^{n+1} dy_j \wedge d\bar{y}_j.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume the region $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ is comparable to the following region $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\delta,t}$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\delta,t} = \{|y_j| \le \delta, j = 2, \dots, n;\quad \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}}|y_i| \ge |t|/\delta\},$$

where $1 > \delta > 0$ is a fixed small positive number. By changing into logarithmic polar coordinate $y_i = e^{x_i + i\theta_i}$, we only need to estimate the integral:

$$|t|^{2\beta_1}\int_{\substack{x_i \le \log\delta \\ \sum_i x_i \ge \log t - \log\delta}} \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} e^{2(\beta_i-\beta_1)x_i}\bigwedge_{i=2}^{N_{\tilde{x}}} dx_i.$$

Now, without loss of generality, we can assume $\beta_i \ge \beta_1$, so that $(\beta_i - \beta_1)x_i \le 0$ in the region of integration, so it's easy to see that the integral is bounded by

$$|t|^{2\beta_1}(\log\delta - \log|t|)^{N_{\tilde{x}}-1}/(N_{\tilde{x}}-1)!,$$

which goes to 0 as $t \to 0$. So

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t}\mu^*(v\wedge\bar{v})^{1/m} = 0.$$

Combining the estimates in above two cases, the Lemma easily follows from partition of unity argument. $\square$

Remark 7. *Professor Robert Berman pointed out to me that the continuity of* II *was not known in previous work. So Lemma 1 also answers his question.*

(4) Because $\mathcal{X}_0$ has Kähler-Einstein $\Omega_{0,KE}$ by Theorem 2, it obtains the minimum of Ding-energy functional by [11] (or rather its variation to the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano case. See [5] and discussions in [19]).

$$F^{\mathcal{X}_0}_{\Omega|_{\mathcal{X}_0}}(\Omega_\Phi|_{\mathcal{X}_0}) \geq F^{\mathcal{X}_0}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}}(\Omega_{0,KE}).$$

(5) The lower boundedness of Ding energy and lower boundedness of Mabuchi energy is well known now. See for example [6] and the references therein.

□

Remark 8. *The above arguments, in particular, the proof of Lemma 1, can also be extended to the log-setting. This allows us to confirm the Conjecture 4.16 in* [19].

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Professor Gang Tian for constant encouragement. The author would like to thank Dr. Song Sun for stimulating discussions during our joint work in [19]. The author is also grateful to Professor Robert Berman for kindly pointing out that the argument for the continuity of II was missing in the first version and very helpful discussions.

References

[1] Aubin, T., Equations du type Monge- Ampère sur les variétés Kähleriennes compactes, Bull. Sci. Math., 102, (1978) 63-95.
[2] Bando, S.: The K-energy map, almost Einstein Kähler metrics and an inequality of the Miyaoka-Yau type. Tohuku Mathematical Journal 39 (1987): 231-235.
[3] Bando, S., Mabuchi, T.: Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler metrics modulo connected group actions, *Algebraic geometry*, Sendai 1985, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 10, 1987: 11-40.
[4] Berndtsson, B., and Paun, M.: Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles. Duke Math. J. Volume 145, Number 2 (2008), 341-378.
[5] Berndtsson, B.: A Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for Fano manifolds and the Bando-Mabuchi uniqueness theorem, arXiv: 1103.0923.
[6] Berman, R.: A thermodynamic formalism for Monge-Ampère equations, Moser-Trudinger inequalities and Kähler-Einstein metrics arXiv:1011.3976.
[7] Berman, R.: K-polystability of Q-Fano varieties admitting Kahler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1205.6214.
[8] Cheeger, J., Colding, T. and Tian, G.: On the singularities of spaces with bounded Ricci curvature, Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol.12 (2002) 873-914.
[9] Chen, X.: Space of Kähler metrics (IV)–On the lower bound of the K-energy, arXiv:0809.4081.
[10] Chen, X., Donaldson, S., Sun, S.: Kahler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds, II arXiv:1212.4717. III, arXiv:1302.0282.
[11] Ding, W.: Remarks on the existence problem of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics. Math. Ann. 282, 463-471 (1988).
[12] Ding, W., Tian, G.: Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant. Invent. Math. 110 (1992), no. 2, 315-335.
[13] Donaldson, S.: Lower bounds on the Calabi functional. J. Differential Geometry, 70 (2005) 453-472.
[14] Donaldson, S.: Kähler metrics with cone singularities along a divisor. arXiv:1102.1196.

[15] Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Birational geometry of algebraic varieties. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[16] Jeffres, T., Mazzeo, R., Rubinstein, Y.: Kähler-Einstein metrics with edge singularities, with an appendix by Chi Li and Yanir A. Rubinstein. arXiv:1105.5216.

[17] Li, C.: Remarks on logarithmic K-stability. arXiv:1104.0428

[18] Li, C.: PhD thesis, 2012. Available at www.math.sunysb.edu/ chili.

[19] Li, C., Sun, S.: conical Kähler-Einstein metric revisited, arXiv:1207.5011.

[20] Li, C., Xu C.: Special test configurations and K-stability of Fano varieties. arXiv:1111.5398.

[21] Paul, S., Tian, G.: CM stability and the generalized Futaki invariant II. Astérisque No. **328**, 339-354.

[22] D.H.Phong, Sturm, J.: The Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Monge-Ampere equations. arXiv:0904.1898.

[23] Song, J., Wang, X.: The greatest Ricci lower bound, conical Einstein metrics and the Chern number inequality, arXiv:1207.4839.

[24] Szekelyhidi, G.: Greatest lower bounds on the Ricci curvature of Fano manifolds, Compositio Math. 147 (2011), 319-331.

[25] Szekelyhidi, G.: A remark on conical Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1211.2725.

[26] Tian, G.: On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$, Invent. Math., 89 (1987) 225-246.

[27] Tian, G.: On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class. Inv. Math. 101, (1990), 101-172.

[28] Tian, G.: On one of Calabi's problems, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 52 p543-556 (1991), Part 2.

[29] Tian, G.: Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. Invent. math. 137, 1-37 (1997).

[30] Tian G.: Canonical Metrics on Kähler Manifolds, Birkhauser, 1999.

[31] Tian, G.: Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. Metric and Differential Geomtry, Proceeding of the 2008 conference celebrating J. Cheegers 65th birthday, edited by Dai et al., Progress in Mathematics, volume 239. Birkhauser, 2012.

[32] Tian, G.: K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1211.4669.

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, 11794-3651 USA.
E-mail: chi.li@stonybrook.edu